

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.ussrip.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,383	07/19/2001	Akira Taguchi	4196-A1JPUS	4018
29370 7	7590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
ROBERT A. PARSONS 4000 N. CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 1220			CHEN, SHIN HON	
PHOENIX, A	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/909,383	TAGUCHI, AKIRA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Shin-Hon Chen	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought to the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	<u> June 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 08) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/909,383 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor U.S. Pat. No. 6542583 (hereinafter Taylor) in view of Watkins U.S. Pat. No. 5719560 (hereinafter Watkins).
- 4. As per claim 1, Taylor discloses a password generation and verification system, comprising: element group storage means for storing a plurality of element groups that are preselected from a plurality of different categories (Taylor: column 1 line 59 column 2 line 47; column 3 lines 46-60), wherein each element group belongs to a selected category and includes a plurality of password elements input by a user that belong to the selected category (Taylor: column 3 line 61 column 4 line 21); scramble element storage means for pre-storing a plurality of scramble elements (Taylor: column 3 line 61 column 4 line 21); category sampling means for randomly sampling a predetermined number of specific categories from said selected category (Taylor: column 6 lines 38-47); mixed element group generating means for mixing a sampled password element that is randomly sampled from the plurality of password elements

Application/Control Number: 09/909,383

Art Unit: 2131

stored in said element group belonging to said specific categories with a predetermined plurality of sampled scramble elements, different than said sampled password element, that are randomly sampled from the plurality of scramble elements stored in said scramble element storage means and belonging to the same category as said sampled password element to generate a mixed element group for each specific category, each mixed element group arranging said sampled password element and said sampled scramble elements in random order (Taylor: column 3 line 61 – column 4 line 21; column 6 lines 38-47); selecting means for selecting, from said mixed element group, a selected password element according to said specific category (Taylor: column 4 line 66 – column 5 line 27); and verifying means for verifying said selected password element to said sampled password element for each specific category (Taylor: column 6 lines 27-48). Taylor does not explicitly disclose having display means for displaying said mixed element group. However, Watkins discloses a method for verifying personal identity through cueresponse pair and presenting the cues to a person through display means and the cues are imageable cue-response pairs (Watkins: column 5 lines 30-54; column 9 lines 13-18). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to apply the authentication method to any type of transaction/communication. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Watkins within the system of Taylor because it allows on-site transaction authentication to be carried out through visual communication.

Page 3

5. As per claim 2, Taylor as modified discloses a password generation and verification system according to claim 1. Taylor as modified further discloses wherein said element group is

Art Unit: 2131

stored, with said password element associated with said specific category, in said element group storage means (Taylor: column 3 lines 46-60).

- 6. As per claim 3, Taylor as modified discloses a password generation and verification system according to claim 1. Taylor as modified further discloses wherein said password element includes image information (Watkins: column 5 line 58 column 6 line 13).
- 7. As per claim 4, Taylor as modified discloses a password generation and verification system according to claim 1. Taylor as modified further discloses wherein said mixed element group generating means is coupled via a telecommunication line to said display means and said selecting means (Watkins: column 9 lines 13-19; Taylor: column 3 lines 17-29).
- 8. As per claim 5, Taylor as modified discloses a password generation and verification system according to claim 4. Taylor as modified further discloses wherein said telecommunication line includes lines for utilizing a fixed telephone, cellular telephone, and the Internet (Taylor: column 3 lines 17-29).
- 9. As per claim 6, Taylor as modified discloses a password generation and verification system according to claim 1. Taylor as modified further discloses wherein said verifying means outputs a match signal when all of said selected password elements match said sampled password elements (Taylor: column 7 lines 21-23).

Art Unit: 2131

10. As per claim 7-9, claims 7-9 encompass the same scope as that of claims 1-6. Therefore, claims 7-9 are rejected based on the reasons set forth in claims 1-6.

11. As per claim 10 and 11, Taylor as modified discloses a password generation and verification system according to claims 1 and 7 respectively. Taylor as modified further discloses wherein said selected password element is not verified to said sampled password element when said selected password element is not selected within a predetermined time (Taylor: column 7 lines 41-59).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments, applicant argues that Taylor does not disclose storing, selecting or using password elements, scramble elements or mixed elements. However, Taylor discloses randomly presenting the questions from a set of questions and that essentially is mixing the password elements so that they are not presented in a fixed way and the answers to the questions must have been pre-established to enable the system to verify whether the user is really who he/she claims to be. Therefore, applicant's argument is respectfully traversed.

Regarding applicant's argument on the categories of password element, given the broadest interpretation of the term "category", every question presented to user can belong to a unique category. Furthermore, applicant argues that the Taylor reference does not disclose displaying question with a set of possible answers. However, Watkins is relied upon to disclose

that limitation. Therefore, the combination of Taylor and Watkins should be considered as a whole to avoid piecemeal analysis.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kung U.S. Pat. No. 5442342 discloses distributed user authentication protocol.

Hondros et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6263439 discloses verification system for non-traditional learning operation.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,383

Art Unit: 2131

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Hon Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shin-Hon Chen Examiner

Art Unit 2131

SC